On June 16, 2006, John Braithwaite will be one of two social scientists awarded the first Stockholm Prize in Criminology from the Swedish Ministry of Justice for “outstanding achievements in criminological research or for the application of research results by practitioners for the reduction of crime and the advancement of human rights.” Braithwaite is founder and head of the Regulatory Institutions Network (RegNet) at the Research School for Social Sciences at the Australian National University (ANU) in Canberra and has been a major force in the restorative justice movement. (Co-winner of the prize is Friedrich Lösel, professor at the Institute of Criminology at the University of Cambridge, England, a leader in crime prevention research.)

David Bayley, professor and former dean of the School of Criminal Justice at University at Albany, State University of New York, co-wrote a letter of recommendation for Braithwaite as part of a nomination presented to the Swedish Ministry of Justice by Heather Strang, director of the ANU’s Centre for Restorative Justice. Said Bayley, “John Braithwaite has had the greatest impact of any criminologist in the last century. He provided the major antidote to traditional criminal justice. Some people have done some scholarship into the causes of crimes or the way criminal justice systems work. He did it all. And now restorative justice is an international movement.”

Braithwaite may be best known for his 1989 book, Crime, Shame and Reintegration (http://www.iirp.org/booksvideos/description/csr.html). Braithwaite began his career in academia, then held positions in government and with NGOs before returning to academia in 1984, considering himself a social scientist throughout. Much of his work has involved advising government regulatory agencies and researching the effectiveness of various forms of government regulation, through RegNet, which aims not only to study government...
regulation, but also to have an impact on policy.

With “responsive regulation” government inspectors adjust to the behavior of the person or organization they’re regulating. Braithwaite noted that government inspectors who were less punitive in dealing with noncompliance, but who instead took a cooperative approach, ended up with higher overall compliance rates.

For example, Braithwaite pioneered the use of “exit conferences” in nursing-home inspections in the 1980s in the US and Australia, which he said, are “very like restorative justice conferences. Nursing home administrators, family council, church groups associated with the home, advocates and government inspectors meet to discuss problems and come up with ways to fix them and heal hurts.” This approach is also used at the end of inspections involving coal-mining accidents.

Braithwaite came to restorative justice without necessarily being aware that this was where he was headed. “We were doing it a long time before we called it restorative justice. It is a set of ideas I’ve been engaging with throughout my career,” he said, adding, “My core idea is a need for a big shift away from hurting and toward healing.” Braithwaite credits his involvement in social movements—especially the consumer movement in the late 1970s and the later environmental and labor movements—for helping to ingrain these core ideas and drawing him to restorative justice.

Braithwaite is now engaged in an 18-year peacebuilding project. When this author first emailed him for an interview, an automated response came back, saying, “John Braithwaite will be away for almost all of April and May, mostly in remote parts of Bougainville and the Solomon Islands doing fieldwork on his peacebuilding project.” Home for a few days in early May, he made himself available, eager to talk about his current project, which involves the healing of entire societies ravaged by war.

Bougainville (where Braithwaite spent a year as a college exchange student in 1969) is recovering from 10 years of civil war through the 1990s, but its history involves feuds going back generations. Bougainville has more in common, culturally and ethnically, with the Solomon Islands, but was grouped with Papua New Guinea when Germany and England staked out territory in the colonial period. Child soldiers and renegades on both sides raped, murdered and pillaged enemy villages to settle land disputes and other old scores, resulting in 10,000 to 20,000 people killed and 70,000 displaced out of a population of 170,000. Said Braithwaite, “These were sites of the most voracious fighting in World War II. Villages got brought in on one side or the other. They are settling scores from World War II.”

Braithwaite is helping document a process, supported by the United Nations, to help the people of Bougainville “break spears and mend hearts.” Thousands of people, including tribal chiefs and traditional leaders, have been trained in restorative justice to conduct reconciliation meetings and peace circles. He said, “I attended a conference on one killing from 1991. It takes a long time to catch up. There is a lot of healing to be done. We talk to leaders, to warlords and ask them about their war strategy, to peacemakers and ask them what was their peace strategy.”

The project as a whole aims to document the successes and failures of peacekeeping efforts around the world, to present reports for each continent, and to have an impact on future efforts. Said Braithwaite, “I’ll grow old with this project.”

The peacebuilding project is the culmination of a broad and varied career. Braithwaite’s effectiveness lies in his ability to see connections and perceive theoretical themes that cut across categories. As a social scientist he studies the world, but as an activist he also aspires to influence it. Said David Bayley, “John has an ability to work—like an artist—in different media. He is heavily involved in political and ethical theory. He has done historical studies, quantitative criminology, observational studies and evaluation research. A whole other thing, very powerful, with economic implications, is his work with regulatory networks. He’s developed systems for regulating entire industries.”

Taken as a whole, Braithwaite’s work has been about advancing the greater cause of social justice. “I’m not at core a criminologist, a regulatory scholar, a worker in international relations,” he said. “I’m someone who studies institutions with a certain intellectual bent. That bent is reconciliation, forgiveness and deliberative democracy.” Deliberative democracy differs from “representative democracy,” where people vote from time to time but are otherwise largely uninvolved in government decision-making. Braithwaite favors “thin democracy”—whereby people sit in the circle and hash out their differences to a conclusion. “I believe in democratic experience, getting creative, getting people to do new and better things,” he said, concluding. “In a hundred years things will look very different. Practices thought good now will be shown to be not so good and vice versa. And new practices will develop. It will be based on evidence. It’s early, and we’re still learning.”

“The word I use to describe John,” said Bayley, “is ‘protean.’ He has an enormous range of abilities and is enormously creative. For these reasons he had to win the award.” On a personal note, he added, “On top of that, John is a wonderful guy—and he’s fun.”